(d) whether it is a fact that none of these communications has been replied t<>;

(e) what are the details of drug issues on which communications have been sent and what are the reasons for not. giving leplies to such communications;

(f) whether it U also a fact that certain complaints about corrupt practices by cer tain officials have also been received; and

(g) if so, what is the nature of comp Iaints, details of officers concerned and action taken against each?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS AND THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF CHEMICALS AND PETROCHEMICALS IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (SHRI P. NAMGYAL); (a) to (g) The information is being compiled and will $b_e$ laid on the Table of the House.

**Committees on drug industry**

1393. SHRI RATAN KUMARI; Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that bis Minis try $ha_s$ appointed a number of committees on drug issues;

(b) if so how many committees wer< appointed after the announcement o Measures, 1986;

(c) what were the terms of refereno of each committee and what are the nami of the members of each committee ar when each committee was appointed;

(d) whether it is a fact that most c these committees have submitted their t ports by the 15th July, 1989; (e) if so, what are the names of ti committees which have submitted the report by the 15th My, 1989;

(f) what are the details of repoi which have been accepted by his Minist and by when the remaining reports a likely to be accepted; and

(g) what are the details of the co minees which havt yet to submit th reports and by when they are Mkely to ubmit the same?

THE MINTSTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS AND THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF HEMICALs AND PETRO-CHEMI-:ALS IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSRY (SHRI P. NAMGYAL): (a) Yes, ir.

(b) to (g). The required details of b« extent available will be collected and aid on the Table of the House.

**Prices of Dexona range formulations**

1394. SHRI CHANDRIKA PRASAD TRIPATHI: Will the Minister of IN-DUSTRY be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 1611 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 8th May, 1989 and state:

(a) what $ar_e$ tbe prices fixed for Daxo-na range of formulations under DPCO, 1987 and when such prices were fixed;

(b) what were the prices of these for-mulations before such fixation;

(c) when these prices were implemented and what are the prices at which these formulations are being sold;

(d) what was the price of each pack and strength of these formulations under DPCO, 1979 and when these prices were fixed;

(e) what wa« the bulk drug price on which prices under DPCO, 1979 were fixed and what is the bulk drug price taken now;

(f) whether it is a fact that prices of these formulations have been overcharged;

(g) whether $hi_s$ Ministry has assessed the overcharging; and

(h) if so, what is the assessment and if not, what are the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY I AFFAIRS AND THE MINISTER OF STATE TN THE DEPARTMENT OF

CHEMICALS AND PETRO-CHEMICALS IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (SHRI P. NAMGYAL): (a) to (h) Th© required details to the extent available will be collected and laid on the Table of the House.

**Overcharging on Gentamycin**

1395. SHRI BEKAL UTSAHI: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY b$_e$ pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 1610 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 8th May, 1989 and state:

(a) whether it is a fact that a claim of Rs. 194.62 lakh upto February, 1985 against Fulford represents the correct position;

(b) whether it h a fact that purchase price of Gentamycin was tampered by other companies to minimise the liability;

(c) what was the purchase price of Gentamycin by Fulford and what was the purchase price of Nicholas, Lyka and PCI for the same period;

(d) whether it is a fact that these companies have withdrawn money from their balance-sheets;

(e) what amount has been assessed foi recovery from Nicholas, Lyka, PCI and other companies on account of Gentamycin and upto what period;

(f) whether any recovery has been made from these companies; and

(g) if so, what are the details thereof and if not, what are the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS AND THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF CHEMICALS AND PETRO-CHEMICALS IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (SHRI P. NAMGYAL): (a) Claim of the Government made in February, 1985, against M|s. Fulford India Limited relates to the period upto the end of February, 1984 including the stock as on lst March 1984.

(b) Such instances have not come to the notice of the Government.

(c) The required details to the extent' available are given below:

| Sl. No. | Name of the Company | Purchase Price (Rs./Gm.) | Period involved |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | M/s Fulford (I) Ltd. | Between 13.58 & 33.22 | 79-80 to Feb. 84 |
| 2. | M/s. Nicholas Labs. Ltd. | Between 16.15 & 35.20 | 79-80 to 83-84 |
| 3. | M/s. Lyka Labs. Ltd. | Between 28.30 & 34.28 | 7/79 to 3/84 NA |
| 4. | M/s. PCI Ltd. | NA | |